

CALCIUM LOOPING CAPTURE IN THE CEMENT INDUSTRY – CEMCAP CONCLUSIONS

G. Cinti¹, R. Mathai², S. Becker², M. Alonso³, C. Abanades³, E. De Lena⁴, M. Spinelli⁴, M. Gatti⁴, S. Campanari⁴, S. Consonni⁴, <u>M. Romano⁴</u>, M. Hornberger⁵, R. Spörl⁵

¹ Italcementi, Bergamo, Italy

² IKN GmbH, Neustadt, Germany

³ Agencia Estatal Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientificas (CSIC), Madrid, Spain

⁴ Politecnico di Milano, Milan, Italy

⁵ Institute of Combustion and Power Plant Technology (IFK), University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Germany

2nd ECRA/CEMCAP/CLEANKER workshop: Carbon Capture Technologies in the Cement Industry Brussels, 17 October 2018

Calcium Looping process fundamentals







Calcium Looping for CO₂ capture: history

- Originally proposed by Shimizu et al., 1999. A twin fluid-bed reactor for removal of CO₂. Chem. Eng. Res. Des., 77.
- Continuously developed since 1998, mainly for application in power plants
- Several fluidized bed pilot facilities demonstrated up to 1.7 MW





Calcium Looping for cement plants

1. <u>Cement plant-power plant coupling</u>: CaO-rich spent sorbent from a CaL power plant as feed for the cement plant, as substitute of CaCO₃

CEMCAP focus

2. <u>Post-combustion "tail end" configuration</u>: CaL process is integrated in the cement plant with a conventional post-combustion capture configuration

CLEANKER focus

3. <u>Integrated CaL configuration</u>: the CaL process is integrated within the cement production process by sharing the same oxyfuel calciner





Calcium Looping CO₂ capture: Tail-end CaL configuration

General features of the process:

- Carbonator removes CO₂ from cement plant flue gas
 → Easy integration in existing cement
- Limestone partly calcined in Calcium Looping calciner
 → CaO-rich purge from CaL calciner used as feed for the cement kiln
- High fuel consumption (double calcination for the mineral CO₂ captured)



- Heat from fuel consumption recovered in efficient (~35% efficiency) steam cycle for power generation
- CFB CaL reactors: d_{50} =100-250 µm, vs. particle size for clinker production d_{50} =10-20 µm → CaL purge milled in the raw mill at low temperature





Calcium Looping CO₂ capture: Tail-end CaL configuration

Conducted Work:

- Parameter screening at 30 kW scale at CSIC (TRL5)
- Demonstration at semi-industrial scale (200 kW_{th}) at IFK (TRL6)
- Process integration study and techno-economic analysis



Arias et al., 2017. CO₂ Capture by CaL at Relevant Conditions for Cement Plants: Experimental Testing in a 30 kW Pilot Plant. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 56, 2634–2640. Hornberger et al., 2017. CaL for CO₂ Capture in Cement Plants – Pilot Scale Test. Energy Procedia, 114, 6171–6174. Spinelli et al., 2017. Integration of CaL systems for CO₂ capture in cement plants. Energy Procedia, 114, 6206-6214. De Lena et al., 2017. Process integration of tail-end CaL in cement plants. Int J Greenh Gas Control. 67, 71-92.

Calcium Looping CO₂ capture: Tail-end CaL configuration

Demonstration at semi-industrial scale:

- High CO₂ capture up to 98 % demonstrated in TRL6 facility
- The CaL design parameters for cement plant applications are in good agreement with the design parameters for power plant operation.
- Tail-end CaL ready for demonstration at TRL7-8.







Calcium Looping CO₂ capture: integrated configuration



General information:

- CaL calciner coincides with the cement kiln pre-calciner
- Calcined raw meal as CO₂ sorbent in the carbonator
- Sorbent has small particle size (d_{50} =10-20 µm) → entrained flow reactors

Marchi M.I., et al., 2012. Procedimento migliorato per la produzione di clinker di cemento e relativo apparato. *Patents MI2012 A00382 and MI2012 A00382.*

<u>*Romano et al., 2014.*</u> The calcium looping process for low CO₂ emission cement plants. *Energy Procedia, 61, 500-503*.



Calcium Looping CO₂ capture: integrated configuration

<u>Development of integrated CaL concept using entrained flow</u> <u>calciner/carbonator:</u>

- 1D carbonator modelling showed possibility of achieving high capture efficiency with solids/gas ratio of ~10 kg/Nm³.
- Belite formation in calciner may cause a decrease of the sorbent CO₂ carrying capacity.
- Demonstration of chemistry and fluid-dynamics of the reactors in industrially relevant conditions needed.



Alonso et al., 2018. Capacities of Cement Raw Meals in Calcium Looping Systems. Energy & Fuels, 31, 13955–13962.

<u>Spinelli et al., 2018.</u> One-dimensional model of entrained-flow carbonator for CO₂ capture in cement kilns by calcium looping process. *Chemical Engineering Science, 191, 100-114.*





Mass and energy balance

	Cement plant w/o capture	Tail-end CaL (20% integration)	Tail-end CaL (50% integration)	Integrated CaL
Carbonator CO ₂ capture efficiency [%]		88.8	90.0	82.0
Total fuel consumption [MJ _{LHV} /t _{clk}]	3240	8720	7100	5440
Rotary kiln fuel consumption [MJ _{LHV} /t _{clk}]	1230	1220	1220	1150
Pre-calciner fuel consumpt. [MJ _{LHV} /t _{clk}]	2010	1550	850	1200
CaL calciner fuel consumpt. [MJ _{LHV} /t _{clk}]		5950	5040	4230
Net electricity consumpt. [kWh _{el} / t _{cem}]	97	-81	42	117
Direct CO ₂ emissions [kg _{CO2} /t _{clk}]	865	119	79	55
Indirect CO ₂ emissions [kg _{CO2} /t _{clk}] *	35	-29	15	46
Equivalent CO ₂ emissions [kg _{co2} /t _{clk}]	900	90	94	101
Equivalent CO ₂ avoided [%]		90.0	89.5	88.8
SPECCA [MJ _{LHV} /kg _{CO2}] **		4.42	4.07	3.16

* Evaluated with the average EU-28 electricity mix: η_e = 45.9%, $E_{CO2,e}$ = 262 kg/MWh

** Specific primary energy consumption for CO₂ avoided



De Lena et al., 2017. Process integration of tail-end CaL in cement plants. *Int J Greenh Gas Control. 67, 71-92.*



Economic analysis

<u>Cost of CO₂ avoided = 50-55 \in/t_{CO2} , mainly due to Capex.</u>







Conclusions and outlook

Ca-LOOPING PROCESS INTEGRATION OPTIONS:

- 1. <u>Post-combustion capture configuration</u>:
 - Low uncertainty in the technical feasibility
 - Very high CO₂ capture expected
 - Two calciners are present in the system, leading to high fuel consumptions
- 2. Integrated CaL configuration:
 - High CO₂ capture efficiency without modifying rotary kiln operation (no need of kiln oxyfiring).
 - Higher thermal efficiency and lower fuel consumptions
 - New carbonator design and fluid-dynamic regime: fluid-dynamics, heat management and sorbent performance need validation



















Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement no 641185

This work was supported by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI) under contract number 15.0160

www.sintef.no/cemcap

Twitter: @CEMCAP_CO2



